

Columbia University: School of Engineering

Fall 2020 SEAS Final Evaluation

Course: COMSW1004_001_2020_3 - INTRO-COMPUT SCI/PROG IN JAVA

Instructor: Adam Cannon, Chianna Cohen, Annie Sui, Timothy Vallancourt

Saumya Agarwal, Hadley Callaway, Aileen Cano, Lei Huang, Cherie Chu, Christian Kowalczyk, Natalia Dorogi, Austin Edger, Melody Hsu, Kevin Mao, Pazit Schrecker, Benjamin Snyder, Emily Li, Maria Tome Armijo, Gabriel Moffat, Deji Oyerinde, Brian Paick

*, Nandini Talwar, Sevrin Sarachek, Ezra Teferra

1 - Overall Quality					
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		4.38
Fair	(2)	2	3.45%		
Good	(3)	7	12.07%		
Very Good	(4)	16	27.59%		
Excellent	(5)	33	56.90%		
				0 25 50 100	Question
Response Rate			Mean	STD	Median
58/394 (14.72%)			4.38	0.83	5.00

2 - Knowledgeability					
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		4.57
Fair	(2)	1	1.79%		
Good	(3)	4	7.14%		
Very Good	(4)	13	23.21%		
Excellent	(5)	38	67.86%		
				0 25 50 100	Question
Response Rate			Mean	STD	Median
56/394 (14.21%)			4.57	0.71	5.00

3 - Approachability					
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		4.45
Fair	(2)	1	2.04%		
Good	(3)	4	8.16%		
Very Good	(4)	16	32.65%		
Excellent	(5)	28	57.14%		
				0 25 50 100	Question
Response Rate			Mean	STD	Median
49/394 (12.44%)			4.45	0.74	5.00

4 - Availability					
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		4.52
Fair	(2)	1	2.08%		
Good	(3)	5	10.42%		
Very Good	(4)	10	20.83%		
Excellent	(5)	32	66.67%		
				0 25 50 100	Question
Response Rate			Mean	STD	Median
48/394 (12.18%)			4.52	0.77	5.00

Columbia University: School of Engineering
Fall 2020 SEAS Final Evaluation

Course: COMSW1004_001_2020_3 - INTRO-COMPUT SCI/PROG IN JAVA

Instructor: Adam Cannon,Chianna Cohen,Annie Sui,Timothy Vallancourt

Saumya Agarwal,Hadley Callaway,Aileen Cano,Lei Huang,Cherie Chu,Christian Kowalczyk,Natalia Dorogi,Austin Edger,Melody Hsu,Kevin Mao,Pazit Schrecker,Benjamin Snyder,Emily Li,Maria Tome Armijo,Gabriel Moffat,Deji Oyerinde,Brian Paick

*,Nandini Talwar,Sevrin Sarachek,Ezra Teferra

5 - Communication						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		4.47	
Fair	(2)	2	4.08%			
Good	(3)	4	8.16%			
Very Good	(4)	12	24.49%			
Excellent	(5)	31	63.27%			
				0 25 50 100	Question	
Response Rate			Mean		STD	Median
49/394 (12.44%)			4.47		0.82	5.00

6 - Does this TA communicate effectively in English?						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means	
Yes	(1)	48	96.00%		1.08	
No	(2)	0	0.00%			
N/A	(3)	2	4.00%			
				0 25 50 100	Question	
Response Rate			Mean		STD	Median
50/394 (12.69%)			1.08		0.40	1.00

7 - Comments	
Response Rate	5/394 (1.27%)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Thank you for the Poker Walkthrough ! I never got to go to your office hours (time zone), but thank you for being such a great TA ! • All of the TA's I saw this semester helped me so much with my understanding. They almost always extended their office hours so that they could help everyone, and spend so much time on Piazza, answering all of the questions, while also holding recitation sections and making videos going over important concepts. The TAs were also always so friendly and patient even when they had been dealing with students for hours, the TAs made it so I never felt scared to ask questions and that all of my concerns were valid, they would always smile when we entered a breakout room or when they began helping and that made such a big difference for me. The TAs for this class should be paid more, they always went above and beyond. • Looked through my really bad code and helped me figure out what was going wrong! Always helpful & approachable • Review session for the poker project was super helpful. • Very understanding and knowledgeable, and provided good feedback and support. 	