

Columbia University: School of Engineering

Fall 2021 SEAS Final Evaluation

Course: AP

Instructor: Jae Lee

Abigail Baldauf, Mia Bramel, Ivy Cao, Michael Jan, Julia Guo, Kent Hall, Max Dickman, Joy He, Lynsey Haynes, Annie Sui, Shaina Peters, Hans Montero, Cherie Liu, Shruti Verma, Maylis Whetsel, Imanol Uribe Echevarria, Brian Paick *, Leslie Chang, Sagarika Sharma, Jennifer Wang, Jasmine Valera, Tal Zussman

1 - Overall Quality						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		4.52	
Fair	(2)	1	4.00%			
Good	(3)	2	8.00%			
Very Good	(4)	5	20.00%			
Excellent	(5)	17	68.00%			
Response Rate				Mean	STD	Median
25/405 (6.17%)				4.52	0.82	5.00

2 - Knowledgeability						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		4.68	
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%			
Good	(3)	1	4.00%			
Very Good	(4)	6	24.00%			
Excellent	(5)	18	72.00%			
Response Rate				Mean	STD	Median
25/405 (6.17%)				4.68	0.56	5.00

3 - Approachability						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		4.57	
Fair	(2)	1	4.35%			
Good	(3)	1	4.35%			
Very Good	(4)	5	21.74%			
Excellent	(5)	16	69.57%			
Response Rate				Mean	STD	Median
23/405 (5.68%)				4.57	0.79	5.00

4 - Availability						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		4.77	
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%			
Good	(3)	1	4.55%			
Very Good	(4)	3	13.64%			
Excellent	(5)	18	81.82%			
Response Rate				Mean	STD	Median
22/405 (5.43%)				4.77	0.53	5.00

Columbia University: School of Engineering
Fall 2021 SEAS Final Evaluation

Course: AP

Instructor: Jae Lee

Abigail Baldauf, Mia Bramel, Ivy Cao, Michael Jan, Julia Guo, Kent Hall, Max Dickman, Joy He, Lynsey Haynes, Annie Sui, Shaina Peters, Hans Montero, Cherie Liu, Shruti Verma, Maylis Whetsel, Imanol Uribe Echevarria, Brian Paick *, Leslie Chang, Sagarika Sharma, Jennifer Wang, Jasmine Valera, Tal Zussman

5 - Communication						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means	
Poor	(1)	1	4.35%			
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%			
Good	(3)	1	4.35%			
Very Good	(4)	3	13.04%			
Excellent	(5)	18	78.26%			
				0 25 50 100	Question	
Response Rate			Mean		STD	Median
23/405 (5.68%)			4.61		0.94	5.00

6 - Does this TA communicate effectively in English?						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means	
Yes	(1)	21	95.45%			
No	(2)	0	0.00%			
N/A	(3)	1	4.55%			
				0 25 50 100	Question	
Response Rate			Mean		STD	Median
22/405 (5.43%)			1.09		0.43	1.00

7 - Comments	
Response Rate	5/405 (1.23%)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • It's impossible to single out just one of these students, they were all excellent. They were available at just about any hour on email threads and consistently contributed their own teleconferences and office hours to help out a class with nearly two hundred students at all levels of expertise. I'm sure they all have very full workloads of their own, so I admire their work ethic, knowledge and willingness to share. They're all superstars. • Brian is very nice and is personable which is nice in a class of 400+ people. He is always willing to draw-out or describe a difficult topic. • Brian is very fair and approachable. He is methodical and takes his time to help you understand what is going on. • Brian is a very good TA because he is able to point out those places where your reasoning may be a bit misled and set you on the right track. I would prefer he was a bit more mindful on how to speak to students without inducing stress. • He is very nice, approachable, and genuinely wants the student to succeed! Thank you Brian! 	